EXHIBIT B

40.500.010 SUMMARY OF PROCEDURES AND PROCESSES

- D. Application types and classification.
 - 1. Applications for review pursuant to Section 40.500.010(A) shall be subject to a Type I, Type II, Type III or Type IV process as summarized in Table 40.500.010-1.
 - 2. Unless otherwise required, where the county must approve more than one application for a given development, all applications required for the development may be submitted for review at one time. Where more than one application is submitted for a given development, and those applications are subject to different types of procedure, then all the applications are subject to the highest-number procedure that applies to any of the applications.
 - 3. If this code expressly provides that an application is subject to one of the four types of procedures or another procedure, then the application shall be processed accordingly. If this code does not expressly provide for review using one of the four types of procedures, and another specific procedure is not required by law, the responsible official for the application in question shall classify the application as one of the four types of procedures.
 - a. The act of classifying an application shall be a Type I action. Classification of an application shall be subject to reconsideration and appeal at the same time and in the same way as the merits of the application in question.
 - b. Questions about what procedure is appropriate shall be resolved in favor of the type providing the greatest notice and opportunity to participate.
 - c. The responsible official shall consider the following guidelines when classifying the procedure type for an application:
 - (1) A Type I process involves an application that is subject to clear, objective and nondiscretionary standards or standards that require the exercise of professional judgment about technical issues, and that is exempt from SEPA review. The responsible official is the review authority for Type I decisions
 - (2) A Type II process involves an application that is subject to objective and subjective standards that require the exercise of limited discretion about non-technical issues and about which there may be a limited public interest. The responsible official is the review authority for Type II decisions.
 - (3) A Type III process involves an application for relatively few parcels and ownerships. It is subject to standards that require the exercise of substantial discretion and about which there may be a broad public interest. The hearings examiner is the review authority for Type III decisions.
 - (4) A Type IV process involves the creation, implementation or amendment of policy or law by ordinance. In contrast to the other three procedure types, the subject of a Type IV process generally applies to a relatively large geographic area containing many property owners, and except for annual reviews, an application subject to a Type IV process can be filed only by the county. The board is the review authority for Type IV decisions.

EXHIBIT B

Table 40.500.010-1. Summary of Development Approvals by Review Type							
	Type I	Type II	Type III	Type IV	Code Reference		
Interpretations							
Code Interpretation –written	X				40.500.010(A)(2)		
Classification of an application	X				40.500.020(B)(3)		
Similar Use Determination	X	X			40.200.010(E)		
Pre-Application Waiver	X				40.510.020(A)(2)		
FP					40.510.030(A)(2)		
Counter Complete	X				40.510.010(A)		
County Complete					40.510.020(B)		
					40.510.030(B)		
Fully Complete	X				40.510.010(B)		
Tuny complete					40.510.020(C)		
					40.510.030(C)		
Submittal Requirements waiver	X				40.510.010(B)		
•					40.510.020(C)		
					40.510.030(C)		
Permits and Reviews							
Legal Lot Determination	X				40.520.010		
Review and Approval (R/A)	X	X			40.520.020		
Conditional Use Permit (CUP)			X		40.520.030		
Site Plan Review	X	X	X		40.520.040		
Sign Permit	X				40.520.050		
Post Decision Review	X	X	X		40.520.060		
Master Plans			X		40.520.070		
Planned Unit Developments		X	X		40.520.080		
Non-Conforming Uses							
Non-Conforming Use Determination	X				40.530		
Expansion of a Non Conforming Use		X	X		40.530		
Boundary Line Adjustments and Land Divisions							
Boundary Line Adjustment	X				40.540.010		
Short Plat		X			40.540.030		
Subdivision			X		40.540.040		
Final Plat	X				40.540.070		
Lot Reconfiguration		X			40.540.120		
Modifications and Variances							
Road Modification	X	X	X		40.550.010		
Variance	X	X	X		40.550.020		
Sewer Waiver	X				40.370.010(C)		
Plan and Code Amendments					1332733334(3)		
Annual Reviews				X	40.560.010		
Zone Change within CP designation			X	<u> </u>	40.560.020		
Zone Change Text Amendments				X			
Special Area-Related Reviews				12			
Archaeological Predetermination	X				40.570.080		
Columbia River Gorge Permit	4.	X	X		40.240.100		
Shoreline (special review process)			X		40.460		
Historic Preservation (special review process)	1	X	4.1		40.250.030		
Open Space	1	- 11		X	CCC Chapter 3.08		
-F ~F****				21	40.560.010(M)(2)		

EXHIBIT B

Table 40.500.010-1. Summary of Development Approvals by Review Type							
	Type I	Type II	Type III	Type IV	Code Reference		
Critical Areas:							
Critical Aquifer Recharge Areas (CARA) Permit	X	X	X		40.410		
Floodplain Review	X	X	X		40.420		
Geo-Hazard	X	X	X		40.430		
Habitat Permit		X			40.440		
Preliminary Wetland Permit		X	X		40.450.040(H)		
Wetland Variance			X		40.450.040(J)		
Final Wetland Permit	X				40.450.040(I)		
Emergency Wetland Permit	X				40.450.040(K)		

 $H:\label{long-range-planning-projects-mixed-use-committee} BOCC \setminus Hearing~12-14-04 \setminus 40.500.010~Exhibit~B.doc$